Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 02/27/2023

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 14

Chelsee Bradshaw, Scott Hardiman, Paris Spivey, Tanner Tolley

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **89** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **99**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  **3-good**  Look at the feedback that Mr. O’Dor gave you and the feedback we provided below to make your updates during sprint 3. Keep pushing ahead. |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **20** | 20 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **30** | 30 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **10** | 0 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **8** | 8 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 89 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 15 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 14 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 99 |

**Comments:**

**PRESENTATION**

* Your nonfunctional requirements were good
* Your diagram updates were good
  + Remove Microsoft Access from the CD
  + UCD is very good

**DOCUMENTATION**

* The title page needs the following change
  + Put your names on one line, not three
* The TOC needs the following
  + Remove numbers from in front of TOC items
  + Name section 1 like you did section 2—be consistent
  + Remove multiple CDs and UCDs. You just have one CD and one UCD—you keep replacing them with the update you do, but you call is Context Diagram and Use Case Diagram
  + Look at Sprint 6 grade sheet for the full TOC
* Formatting is getting better
* CD & UCD—see comment in Presentation section above
* Nonfunctional requirements—make left column narrower
* The System Product Backlog should only have user requirements on it; there should be no documentation items listed on the system product backlog
* You did not do the Team Sprint Evaluation—that was 10 points!
* If you have questions, email me

**COMMENTS**

* Mr. O’Dor gave you a 3/4 which is a 75% on your presentation! We gave you a 99. Remember, his grade for sprint 6 is the presentation grade! Read his comments and do his recommendations.